

## \_\_\_\_\_**\_SNAPSHOT OF WTO CASES INVOLVING THE UNITED STATES**

Updated: 7/22/03

**UNITED STATES AS COMPLAINING PARTY -- of 63 complaints the United States has filed so far, 39 have been concluded; 2 were merged with other complaints; 4 are in the litigation stage; and 18 are either in the pre-litigation consultation stage or currently inactive, as follows:**

<b>20</b> -resolved to U.S. satisfaction without litigation:	(1) Korea-shelf-life restrictions; (2) EU-grain imports; (3) Japan-protection of sound recordings; (4) Portugal-patent protection; (5) Pakistan-patent protection; (6) Turkey-tax on movies; (7) Hungary-agricultural subsidies; (8) Philippines-pork & poultry imports; (9) Brazil-auto regime; (10) Sweden- intellectual property protection; (11) Australia-salmon imports; (12) Greece-intellectual property protection; (13) Ireland-intellectual property protection; (14) Denmark-intellectual property protection; (15) Romania-customs valuation; (16) Philippines-auto regime; (17) Belgium-rice imports; (18) Brazil-patent law; (19) EU-corn gluten imports; (20) Mexico-hog imports
<b>16</b> -U.S. successful in its challenge of a measure:	(1) Japan-liquor taxes; (2) Canada-magazine imports; (3) EU-banana imports; (4) EU-hormone-treated beef imports; (5) India-patent protection; (6) Argentina-textile imports; (7) Indonesia-auto regime; (8) Korea-liquor taxes; (9) Japan-fruit imports; (10) Canada-dairy sector (panel and compliance proceedings); (11) Australia- leather subsidies; (12) India-import licensing; (13) Mexico-antidumping duties on high-fructose corn syrup; (14) Canada-patent law; (15) Korea-beef imports; (16) India-auto regime
<b>3</b> -U.S. did not prevail in litigation:	(1) Japan-film imports; (2) EU/Ireland/UK-tariff classification of computer equipment ( <i>three separate complaints consolidated into one case</i> ); (3) Korea-airport procurement
<b>0</b> -in appellate stage	
<b>4</b> -in panel stage:	(1) Mexico-telecom barriers; (2) Japan-apples (fire blight); (3) EC-Steel safeguards; (4) Canada-wheat board
<b>6</b> -in consultations:	(1) Argentina-patent protection; (2) EU-geographical indication protection; (3) Brazil-customs valuation; (4) Venezuela-import licensing; (5) EU-biotech products; (6) Mexico-AD duties on beef and rice
<b>12</b> -monitoring progress or otherwise inactive:	(1) Korea-import clearance; (2) Japan-Large Stores Law; (3) Belgium-yellow pages; (4) EU-dairy subsidies; (5) Chile-liquor taxes; (6) Belgium-tax subsidies; (7) France-tax subsidies; (8) Greece-tax subsidies; (9) Ireland-tax subsidies; (10) Netherlands-tax subsidies; (11) EU/France-avionics subsidies; (12) Argentina-footwear imports

**UNITED STATES AS RESPONDING PARTY -- of 77 complaints filed against the United States so far, 38 have been concluded; 10 were merged with other complaints; 10 are in the litigation stage; and 19 are either in the pre-litigation consultation stage or currently inactive, as follows:**

<b>12-resolved without litigation:</b>	(1) Autos (Japan); (2) Wool coats (India); (3) Various products (EU); (4) Tomatoes (Mexico); (5) Poultry (EU); (6) Urea (Germany); (7) Brooms (Colombia); (8) Helms-Burton Act (EU); (9) TVs (Korea); (10) Cattle, swine & grain (Canada); (11) Textiles (EU); (12) Massachusetts government procurement (EU, Japan)
<b>4-U.S. prevailed in litigation:</b>	(1) Sections 301-310 of Trade Act of 1974 (EU); (2) CVD regulations (Canada); (3) Section 129(c)(1) URAA (Canada); (4) Rules of origin-textiles and apparel products (India)
<b>22-Aspect of U.S. measure found inconsistent:</b>	(1) Gasoline (Venezuela, Brazil); (2) Underwear (Costa Rica); (3) Wool shirts (India); (4) "Shrimp/turtle" law (India, et al.); (5) DRAMs (Korea); (6) UK leaded bars (EU); (7) Music licensing provision in US copyright law (EU); (8) 1916 Revenue Act (EU, Japan; <i>two complaints consolidated into one case</i> ); (9) Bonding requirements (EU); (10) Wheat gluten import safeguard (EU); (11) Stainless steel (Korea); (12) Lamb meat import safeguard (Australia, New Zealand; <i>two complaints consolidated into one case</i> ); (13) Hot-rolled steel (Japan); (14) Cotton yarn (Pakistan); (15) Section 211 of Omnibus Appropriations Act (EU); (16) Taxes on Foreign Sales Corporations (EU); (17) Safeguard on line pipe (Korea); (18) AD-steel plate (India); (19) CVD-German steel (EU); (20) CVD-steel products (EU); (21) CDSOA (Australia, et al.; <i>two cases consolidated into one proceeding</i> ); (22) CVD-softwood lumber (prelim) (Canada).
<b>0-in appellate stage:</b>	
<b>10-in panel stage:</b>	(1) Safeguards on steel line pipe and wire rod (EU); (2) AD-sunset review (Japan); (3) Steel safeguards (EU, et al.; <i>eight complaints consolidated into one case</i> ); (4) Orange juice (Brazil); (5) CVD-softwood lumber (final) (Canada); (6) AD-softwood lumber (final) (Canada); (7) Cotton subsidies (Brazil); (8) Injury-softwood lumber (Canada); (9) AD-sunset review (Argentina); (10) Gambling and betting services (Antigua & Barbuda)
<b>11-in consultations:</b>	(1) CVD-steel (Brazil); (2) AD-steel pipe (Italy); (3) AD-silicon metal (Brazil); (4) AD-softwood lumber (prelim) (Canada); (5) AD/CVD-sunset reviews (EC); (6) Steel safeguards (Chinese-Taipei); (7) CVD-steel plate (Mexico); (8) AD - cement (Mexico); (9) AD - OCTG (Mexico); (10) "Zeroing" of AD margins (EU); (11) CVD - Semiconductors (Korea)
<b>8- monitoring progress or otherwise inactive:</b>	(1) Salmon (Chile); (2) Peanuts (Argentina); (3) Harbor maintenance tax (EU); (4) Live cattle (Canada); (5) Sugar syrups (Canada); (6) Section 337 of Tariff Act of 1930 (EU); (7) Amendment to Section 306 of Trade Act of 1974 (EU); (8) U.S. patent law (Brazil)